## **School District Consolidation**

An Overview of State Action



#### Year

#### No. of Districts

- **119,001**
- 0,520
- ,995
- ,928

### Reasons not to Consolidate

- Loss of economic draw.
- Loss of community identity.
- Large schools vs.. small schools.
  - ◆ Climate
  - ◆ Bureaucracy
- Commute for students/transportation costs.
  - ◆ Decreased level of student involvement
- No true cost savings/improvement of services.
- Political climate of community

### Optimal size of school and district

Differing opinions...

1995 Study by Duncombe, Miner, and Ruggiero suggests

 Ninety percent of cost savings are exhausted at 1,500 students.

#### **ARKANSAS**

- 1983 1999 districts decreased from 370 to 310.
- More consolidations anticipated due to perceived cost savings and Supreme Court ruling mandating equal and efficient education for all students.
- Governor Huckabee proposes legislation to consolidate all school districts under 500 students.

#### NORTH DAKOTA

- 1970 1994 districts decreased from 256 to 186.
- In 1994 closed schools had 47-97 students.
- Consolidated schools had 75-677.
- Most efficient districts have 600 5,000 students.
- Fifteen districts average 4,400 students the rest average 225.

#### **ILLINOIS**

- 1980 2002 districts decreased from 1,001 to 893.
  - ♦ 51% through Dissolution/Annexation
  - ♦ 38% through Consolidation
  - ◆ 11% through Conversion, Deactivation, or Cooperative.

#### KANSAS

- 1945 1984 districts decreased from 8,000 to 303.
- January 2003 recommendation to reduce further to approximately 40 Regional Education Districts.
- Legislation passed to dissolve current unified school districts and restructure along county boundaries by 2005.

### **KANSAS**

- Develop plan to phase in RED concept over a
  5 to 10 year period.
- Insure appropriate and qualified instructional staffing.
- Provide for districts with special circumstances.
  geographic isolation

### **Trends in Consolidation Process**

- Incentives work better than mandates.
- Community engagement is important.
- Cost savings may or may not be significant based on economies of scale.
- Cultures of different districts may help or impede the consolidation process.
- Geographic location and topography may determine feasibility of consolidation.

### For more information

Contact:

Frances Groff, Senior Policy Specialist

NCSL Education Program

Phone: (303) 856-1415

Fax: (303) 364-7800

Email: frances.groff@ncsl.org